

Center for Scientific Computation And Mathematical Modeling

University of Maryland College Park

# Critical Thresholds in Eulerian Dynamics

# Eitan Tadmor

Center for Scientific Computation and Mathematical Modeling (CSCAMM) Department of Mathematics, Institute for Physical Science & Technology

University of Maryland

Eulerian dynamics & questions of regularity

• Newton: 
$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}(t)}{dt^2} = \mathbf{F}, \qquad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)^\top \in \mathsf{IR}^N$$

• Eulerian description:  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = (u_1(\mathbf{x},t),\ldots,u_N(\mathbf{x},t))^\top$ 

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}$$
:  $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=1}^N u_k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} = F_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ 

- $\odot$  velocity  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)$  is governed by forcing  $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{u},\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u},...]$
- Q.: whether smooth solutions develop singularity in a finite time?

Answer — possible scenarios:

No – global smooth solutions:  $u(\cdot, t)$  remains smooth for all time

Yes – finite time breakdown: shocks, singularities,..  $|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t_c)| \uparrow \infty$ 

Critical threshold phenomena: regularity depends on initial configurations

#### The prototype example of Euler-Poisson equations

$$\rho_t + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$(\rho \mathbf{u})_t + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = \rho \mathbf{F}$$

 $\odot$  Eulerian dynamics:  $\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}$ 

• Density  $\rho := \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ; velocity  $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ; Forcing  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{u}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}, ...]$ 

$$\mathbf{F} = \underbrace{\overbrace{-\kappa \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi}}_{-\kappa \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi} + \frac{A}{\rho} \underbrace{\overbrace{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p(\rho)}}_{p(\rho)} + \text{relaxation} + \text{dissipation} + \dots$$

- Poissonian potential  $\phi := \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) : -\Delta \phi = \rho + \text{background}$
- Applications: semi-conductors, evolution of galaxies, ...

 $\kappa \neq 0$  — a scaled Debye constant:

 $\kappa > 0$  repulsive forcing;  $\kappa < 0$  attractive forcing

### The example of Euler-Poisson equations

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = -\kappa \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi + \frac{A}{\rho} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p(\rho)$$

○  $\kappa = 0$ : isentropic model of compressible Euler equations finite time blowup — one-dimensional shocks (Lax '72)

 $\odot$  State of the theory (prototype):

Results	Method	initial data
Local regularity $t \in [0, T]$	Energy method	all $(\rho_0 > 0, u_0) \in H^s$
Weak solution $t < \infty$	compactness	all $(\rho_0 > 0, u_0) \in BV$
Global regularity $t < \infty$	energy method	small perturbation
Finite time blowup $t = t_c$	global invariant	large initial data
Critical Threshold	spectral dynamics	'generic' initial data

 $\odot$  A partial list of the experts:

G.-Q. Chen, Donatelli, Engelberg, Gamblin, Y. Guo, T. Luo, Makino, Marcati, Markowich, Natalini, Perthame, Schmeiser, Ukai, D. Wang, Z. Xin, ...

### **One-dimensional Euler-Poisson equation**

$$\rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathrm{IR},$$
$$u_t + uu_x = -\kappa \phi_x$$

— smooth initial data:  $\rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x) > 0$ ,  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ 

- no pressure; zero background:  $-\phi_{xx} = \rho$
- Global smooth solution if

$$u_0'(x) > -\sqrt{2\kappa\rho_0(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathsf{IR}$$

- Breakdown: if  $\exists$  an x s.t.  $u_0'(x) \leq -\sqrt{2\kappa\rho_0(x)}$
- $\Rightarrow$  regularity breaks down at a finite  $t = t_c$ :  $u(\cdot, t_c) \downarrow -\infty$
- Burgers equation  $\kappa = 0$ : 'generic' breakdown unless  $u_0(x) \uparrow \forall x$
- Critical threshold  $(\kappa > 0)$ :

Global solutions for large set of 'generic' initial configurations

### Critical threshold in one-dimensional Euler-Poisson

• Mass equation: 
$$\rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0$$
 reads,  $d := u_x$   
 $(\partial_t + u\partial_x)\rho + u_x\rho = 0 \Longrightarrow \qquad \rho' + d\rho = 0$  (1)  
•  $\partial_x$ (Balance equation:  $u_t + uu_x = \kappa\phi_x$ ) reads  
 $(\partial_t + u\partial_x)u_x + u_x^2 = \kappa\rho \Longrightarrow \qquad d' + d^2 = \kappa\rho$  (2)

• Linear stability is of no help: 
$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \kappa & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

• Manipulate: 
$$\rho \times (2) - d \times (1) = \kappa \rho^2 \Longrightarrow \left(\frac{d}{\rho}\right)' = \frac{\rho d' - d\rho'}{\rho^2} = \kappa$$

$$\odot$$
 Decoupling:  $\frac{d}{\rho} = \kappa t + \frac{u'_0}{\rho_0} \implies d' + d^2 = \frac{\kappa d}{\kappa t + u'_0/\rho_0}$ 

• Nonlinear resonance:  $u_x = d = \frac{u'_0 + \kappa \rho_0 t}{1 + u'_0 t + \kappa \rho_0 \frac{t^2}{2}}$ 

• Geometry of characteristics: straight lines ( $\kappa = 0$ )  $\rightarrow$  parabolas ( $\kappa > 0$ )

More on one-dimensional Euler-Poisson  $u_t + uu_x = F$ 

• Adding pressure:  $F[u, u_x] = -\kappa \phi_x + \frac{A}{\rho} (\rho^{\gamma})_x, \ \gamma \ge 1$ 

<u>Thm</u> (w/Dongming Wei) Global smooth solution iff

$$u_0'(x) \ge -\sqrt{2K\rho_0(x)} + \sqrt{A\gamma} \frac{|\rho_0'(x)|}{\left(\sqrt{\rho_0(x)}\right)^{3-\gamma}}, \quad K = K(\kappa) \sim \kappa.$$

Poisson and pressure compete: global regularity vs. breakdown

- Adding non-zero background:  $-\phi_{xx} = \rho c$ :  $|u'_0(x)| \le \sqrt{\kappa (2\rho_0(x) c)}$ 
  - Adding relaxation:  $u_t + uu_x = -\kappa \phi_x \frac{u}{\varepsilon}$ weak vs. strong(= monotonic) relaxation depending on  $\varepsilon$  vs.  $1/\sqrt{\kappa}$ 
    - Semi-classical limit NLSP:  $i\epsilon\psi_t^{\epsilon} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\Delta_x\psi^{\epsilon} \kappa\left(\Delta_x^{-1}(|\psi^{\epsilon}|^2 c)\right)\psi^{\epsilon}$
    - WKB ansatz  $\psi^{\epsilon} = A_0^{\epsilon} e^{iS^{\epsilon}/\epsilon}$ :  $u := \nabla S^{\epsilon}, \ \rho := |A^{\epsilon}|^2$

$$\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \quad u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = \kappa \nabla \Delta_x^{-1} (\rho - c) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right]$$

• Classical limit with 1D sub-critical data:  $|S_0''(x)| \le \sqrt{\kappa(2|A_0(x)|^2 - c)}$ 

## Plan of this talk

- I. Multidimensional models: spectral dynamics
- II. 2D example: Poisson forcing
  - Critical threshold for 2D restricted Euler-Poisson
  - 2D viscosity
- III. 2D examples cont'd: Rotation forcing
  - Rotation prevents finite time breakdown
  - Near periodic solutions for shallow-water eq's

The 2D example of Viscosity forcing

- IV. 3D and 4D examples: Pressure forcing
  - The 3D restricted Euler equations and ...
  - A surprising 4D scenario of critical threshold

Joint works with Bin Cheng(Maryland), S. Engelberg (Jerusalem), Hailiang Liu (Iowa State), Dongming Wei (Maryland)

### I. The multidimensional case — Spectral Dynamics

- N = 1 Key issue: control of the scalar  $d = u_x$
- Critical Threshold phenomena for multidimensional systems: Velocity  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N)^{\top}$ ; Forcing  $\mathbf{F} = \{F_i[\mathbf{u}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}, \dots]\}_{i=1}^N$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{u}, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}, \dots]$$

Key point: balance of nonlinearities:  $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{u},\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u},...]$  vs.  $\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}$ 

Key issue: control of the matrix  $D := \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right), i, j = 1, 2, ..., N$ 

$$D_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} D + D^2 = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F}, \qquad \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$$

• Spectral dynamics:  $\lambda(D)$  an eigenvalue w/eigenpair  $\langle \ell, r \rangle = 1$ 

$$\partial_t \lambda_i + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \lambda_i + \lambda_i^2 = \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} \ell_i, r_i \rangle$$
  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ 

— Difficult interaction of eigenstructure–forcing  $\cdots$   $\langle \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} \ell, r \rangle$ 

II. Multidimensional Euler-Poisson:  $\mathbf{F} = -\kappa \nabla \phi, \ -\Delta \phi = \rho$ 

• Poisson forcing:  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} = -\kappa \partial_i \partial_j \phi = \kappa \partial_i \partial_j \Delta^{-1}[\rho] =: \kappa R[\rho]$ 

$$\underline{R[\rho]} = \partial_i \partial_j \Delta^{-1} \rho = \frac{\rho}{N} \delta_{ij} \underbrace{+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x-y|^2 \delta_{ij} - N(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|x-y|^{N+2}} \rho(y) dy}_{|x-y|^{N+2}}$$

- Restricted Euler-Poisson:  $R[\rho] = \frac{\rho}{N} I_{N \times N} + \dots \rightarrow \frac{\rho}{N} I_{N \times N}$
- Retaining the local part of the global term R[
  ho]; more later...
- Spectral dynamics scalar forcing:  $\langle \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} \ell_i, r_i \rangle = \kappa \langle \mathbf{R}[\rho] \ell_i, r_i \rangle \to \kappa \frac{\rho}{N}$

$$\partial_t \lambda_i + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \lambda_i + \lambda_i^2 = \kappa \frac{\rho}{N}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, N$$

... and  $\rho$  is determined by mass equation:  $\rho_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho + \rho \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ :

$$\partial_t \rho + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho + \rho \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = 0$$

 $\odot$  Turn to the 2D N = 2-case....

Critical threshold in 2D Restricted Euler-Poisson (REP)

 $\odot$  spectral dynamics along particle path:

$$\lambda_i' + \lambda_i^2 = \kappa \frac{\rho}{N}, \qquad \{\cdot\}' := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_\mathbf{x}$$

$$(\mathbf{1}): \quad \lambda_1' + \lambda_1^2 = \kappa \frac{\rho}{2} \qquad (\mathbf{2}): \quad \lambda_2' + \lambda_2^2 = \kappa \frac{\rho}{2}$$

- $\odot$  Take the difference let  $\eta := \lambda_2 \lambda_1$  be the spectral gap –
- $(\#2) (\#1) \longrightarrow$ :  $\eta' + \eta \times (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = 0$
- mass eq.: $\rho_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \rho + \rho \cdot div_x \mathbf{u} = 0 \rightarrow : \rho' + \rho \times (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = 0$

$$\left(\frac{\eta}{\rho}\right)' = 0$$

 $\odot$  2D spectral invariant:  $\frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\rho} = Const.$  along particle path

# Critical threshold in 2D Restricted Euler-Poisson (REP) <u>Thm</u>(w/H. Liu)

The solution of 2D REP remains smooth for all time iff

 $d_0(x) > g(\rho_0(x), \eta_0(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ 

• Critical surface:  $g(\rho,\eta) := sgn(\eta^2 - 2k\rho)\sqrt{\eta^2 - 2\kappa\rho + 2\kappa\rho} \ln\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\eta^2}\right)$ 

 $\odot$  Dependence on the spectral gap  $\eta := \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ ,  $d := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ 

⊙ Example: Solutions of the 2D REP remains smooth for all time if both  $\lambda_i(0)$  are complex:  $Im(\lambda_i(\alpha, 0)) \neq 0$ , i = 1, 2.

• Non-zero background  $-\Delta \phi = \rho - c$ :

Critical threshold consists of union of several critical surfaces

### **OPEN QUESTIONS**

• Q. What happens with the full Euler-Poisson  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} = R[\rho]$ ?

 $\odot$  On the transport of the Riesz matrix  $R[\rho]$ 

- Q. Adding pressure competition with Poisson forcing
- Q. Who plays the role of spectral gap in 3D?

⊙ 3D REP spectral invariant: 
$$\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}{\rho^2} = Const.$$

III. 2D example: rotation prevents finite time breakdown

2D: 
$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\alpha} J \mathbf{u}, \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Spectral dynamics:  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(D)$ ,  $D = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 u_1 & \partial_2 u_1 \\ \partial_1 u_2 & \partial_2 u_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

• Forcing  $\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{\alpha} J \mathbf{u}$  is local but non-isotropic:  $\langle \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} \ell, r \rangle \propto \langle J D \ell, r \rangle$ 

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha} \times \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$$
$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 = -\frac{\lambda_2}{\alpha} \times \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$$

 $\odot \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle = \frac{\omega}{\eta}, \quad \eta := \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$  is the spectral gap;  $(\omega = 0 \leftrightarrow D \text{ symmetric})$ 

· Difference 
$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\eta + d\eta = -\frac{d\omega}{\alpha\eta} \dots$$

III. 2D example: rotation prevents finite time breakdown

$$2D: \quad \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\alpha} J \mathbf{u}, \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
• Spectral dynamics:  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(D), \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 u_1 & \partial_2 u_1 \\ \partial_1 u_2 & \partial_2 u_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

• Forcing  $\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{\alpha} J \mathbf{u}$  is local but non-isotropic;  $\langle \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F} \ell, r \rangle \propto \langle J D \ell, r \rangle$ 

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_\mathbf{x})\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha} \times \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$$
$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_\mathbf{x})\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 = -\frac{\lambda_2}{\alpha} \times \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$$

 $\odot \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle = \frac{\omega}{\eta}, \quad \eta := \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$  is the spectral gap;  $(\omega = 0 \leftrightarrow D \text{ symmetric})$ 

· Difference  $(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\eta + d\eta = -\frac{d\omega}{\alpha\eta}$  and sum  $d := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \dots$ 

(1) 
$$\eta' + d\eta = -\frac{d\omega}{\alpha\eta}$$
 (2)  $d' + \frac{d^2 + \eta^2}{2} = -\frac{\omega}{\alpha}$  (3)  $\omega' + d\omega = \frac{d}{\alpha}$ 

# Critical thresholds for 2D rotation: $\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\alpha} J \mathbf{u}$

 $\odot$  Two spectral invariants:  $\varphi = 1 - \alpha \omega, \ \varphi' = d\varphi$ 

(1) 
$$\frac{2\alpha\omega + \alpha^2\eta^2 - 1}{2\alpha\omega - \alpha^2\omega^2 - 1} = \text{Const.} > 0, \quad \text{(2)} \quad \frac{d^2 - \eta^2}{1 - \alpha\omega} = \text{Const.}$$

Thm (w/H. Liu) Rotation prevents finite time breakdown for

subcritical data:

$$2\alpha\omega_0 + \alpha^2\eta_0^2 < 1$$

$$\odot$$
 if  $\eta_0^2 > 0$ : global solution if  $\alpha < \alpha_+^c := -\omega_0 + \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + \eta_0^2}$ 

 $\odot$  if  $\eta_0^2 < 0$ : global solution if  $\alpha < \alpha_-^c$  or  $\alpha > \alpha_+^c$ 

⊙ The flow map is 2πα periodic in time ... Lagrangian point of view
 ⊙ Conservation: E(t) := ∫ ρ(·,t)|u(·,t)|<sup>2</sup>dx = E<sub>0</sub>, ρ<sub>t</sub> + ∇<sub>x</sub>(ρu) = 0

### Adding 'pressure': the 2D rotational shallow-water eq's

$$\mathbf{u}_{t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + \underbrace{\mathbf{g} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h}_{g \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h} = \underbrace{\mathbf{f} J \mathbf{u}}_{f J \mathbf{u}}; \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{mass quation}}_{h_{t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} (h \mathbf{u}) = 0};$$
  
• scaling — Froude #:  $\beta = \frac{U}{\sqrt{gH}}$  Rossby #:  $\alpha = \frac{U}{fL}$   
 $h_{t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h + (\frac{1}{\beta} + h) \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = 0$   
 $\mathbf{u}_{t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h = \frac{1}{\alpha} J \mathbf{u}$ 

• Assumption – rotation dominated flows:  $\delta := \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \ll 1$ 

<u>Thm</u>(w/Bin Cheng) For sub-critical initial data: there exists a smooth, near periodic solution  $t \lesssim |\log(\delta)|$ :

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{lpha,eta}(\cdot,t) - \mathbf{u}_{lpha,0}^{periodic}(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \delta rac{e^{Ct} - 1}{1 - \delta e^{Ct} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^s+3}}$$

 $\odot$  Rotation delays finite-time breakdown; (no smallness of  $\alpha \ll 1$ )

Babin, Constantin, Chemin, Gallagher, Mahalov, Majda, Nicolaenko, Saint-Raymond, ...

2D Burgers':  $\mathbf{u}_t^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon} = \epsilon \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon}, \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^{\top}$ 

• Once more — it is the spectral gap:

 $\left\|\eta(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon})(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq \left\|\eta(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon})(\cdot,0)\right\|_{L^{1}}$ 

•  $||u^{\epsilon}(\cdot,t)||_{BV} \leq Const_0 \Longrightarrow \exists \lim \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_1^{\epsilon} + u_1^{\epsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}u_1^{\epsilon} + u_2^{\epsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}u_1^{\epsilon} = \epsilon\Delta u_1^{\epsilon}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_2^{\epsilon} + u_1^{\epsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}u_2^{\epsilon} + u_2^{\epsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}u_2^{\epsilon} = \epsilon\Delta u_2^{\epsilon}$$

Q. What is the dynamics of  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}?$ 

A1. 
$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} S_0$$
:  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \nabla_x \left( \text{viscosity sln. of 2-D Eikonal } S_t + |\nabla S|^2 = 0 \right)$ :  
 $L^1$  spectral gap,  $\eta(\partial_i \partial_j S)$ :  $\left\| \sqrt{(\Delta S)^2 - 4(S_{xx}S_{yy} - S_{xy}^2)(\cdot, t)} \right\|_{L^1_{loc}(R^2)}$ 

 $\bullet$  General  $\mathbf{u}_0$ : a proper weak formulation for the limit?

### IV. Euler and Restricted Euler

• Incompressible Euler equations:  $\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{u} = -\nabla_x p$ 

 $\odot$  It's this pressure again.... $-\Delta p = div \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = trace(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u})^2$ 

$$\nabla_x \mathbf{F} = -\partial_i \partial_j p = \partial_i \partial_j \Delta^{-1}[trace(D^2)] = \mathbf{R}[trace(D^2)]$$

- Full Euler equations:  $D_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} D + D^2 = R[trace(D^2)]$
- Restricted Euler model: Léorat, 1975, Vieillefosse, 1982:

$$R[trace(D^2)] \to \frac{trace(D^2)}{N} I_{N \times N} : \quad D_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} D + D^2 = \frac{traceD^2}{N} I_{N \times N}.$$

○ Retains incompressibility:  $(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x) traceD = 0$ 

- Why this model?- Vieillefosse, Cantwell, Shraimann, Pumir, Siggia, Pelz,
- localized model of Euler/Navier-Stokes equations
- —describe the local (blow-up?) topology of Euler eq's (Beale-Kato-Majda -  $\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1([0,T_c-],L^\infty)} \uparrow \infty$ )
- capture certain statistical features of physical flow
- restricted model for incompressible MHD

### Spectral Dynamics for restricted Euler model

The nonlinear dependence:  $\lambda = \lambda(D)$ 

• Spectral dynamics:  $D' + D^2 = \frac{trace(D^2)}{N} I_{N \times N}$   $' \equiv \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_\mathbf{x}$ 

$$\lambda'_i + \lambda_i^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^2, \quad i = 1, \cdots, N$$

• Spectral invariants:  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)' + (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) = 0$  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\right)' = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) = 0$ 

 $\odot$  Incompressibility:  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(t) = 0$ 

Q. Seek 
$$\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{I}} (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)) = \text{Const.} (i,j) \in \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } \dots$$

$$\sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{I}} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \propto \sum_k \lambda_k \ldots = 0$$

Ans.  $\#{\mathcal{I}} \ge \left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$  independent spectral invariants.

#### 3D finite time breakdown

• 3D spectral invariant:  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) = Const.$ 

indeed  $\{(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)\} \in \mathcal{I}:$ 

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 = 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) = 0$$

<u>Thm</u> 3D Global solutions iff  $\Lambda_0 := (\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}, \lambda_{30}) = (1, 1, -2) \times a(x)$ 

Dilation:  $\Lambda_0 \times a(x)$ ; permutation:  $\Lambda_0 = (1, -2, 1), (-2, 1, 1) \times a(x)$ 

Finite time breakdown at finite time,  $t_c$ , where  $\lambda_i \sim \frac{1}{t-t_c}$ .

- 3D RE blow-up is generic except for one point projection
- Vieillefosse, ...

Critical thresholds for 4D restricted Euler

• Two spectral invariants:  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4) \& (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)$ {(1,2), (3,4)} and {(1,3), (2,4)}  $\in \mathcal{I}$ :  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = 0$ <u>Thm</u> (w/Hailiang Liu and Dongming Wei)

Global smooth solutions iff  $\Lambda_0 := (\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}, \lambda_{30}, \lambda_{40}) \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$ ,

 $\Gamma_3$ : real eigenvalues  $\Lambda_0 = (-1+s, -1, -1, 3-s) \times a(x), \ 0 \le s \le 4$ 

- $\Gamma_2$ : 1 complex pair + 2 real e.v.  $\Lambda_0 = (r+i, r-i, -r, -r) \times a(x)$
- $\Gamma_1$ : 2 complex pairs  $\Lambda_0 = (r+bi, r-bi, -r+ci, -r-ci) \times a(x), bc \neq 0$



# **OPEN QUESTIONS**

Q. What does the restricted model tell us about the full Euler equations?



# Center for Scientific Computation And Mathematical Modeling

University of Maryland College Park

# THANK YOU

## www.cscamm.umd.edu/~tadmor